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Enumeration of Participant interests

1. Implementing a new security risk metrics program
2. Managing and Improving an existing security risk metrics program
3. Meeting external requirements (regulators, auditors, partners, etc.)
4. Industry-wide / inter-organization studies, analyses and improvement efforts
5. Emerging challenges (new risk models, integration of technical and management reporting systems, etc.)
6. Other
About me

• Experienced in Comprehensive information security management programs, Designing and deploying security solutions, Executing IT audits, compliance and certifications, Security project management

• Worked with High tech, Manufacturing, Banking, Finance and Not-for-Profit clients

• Areas of interest: Operational risk, Enterprise Risk Management dashboards, Integrated compliance frameworks, Emerging technologies
Agenda

• Overview of security risk metrics
• Implementing a security risk metrics program
• Issues, challenges and practical approaches for resolution
• Popular models and resources
• Q&A
Overview of Security Risk Metrics
Overview of Security Risk Metrics

• Defining security risk metrics
• Characteristics of Good and Bad Metrics
• Security Risk Measurement
• Drivers
• Benefits
• Trends
Defining Security Risk Metrics

• Risk and Security

• Security Metrics:
  – ‘A system or standard of measurement’ for objectively analyzing the performance of Information Security to aid decision-making*
  – A system or standard for measuring security risks objectively to aid decision making

• Evolving discipline

• “Measures” vs. “Metrics”
Defining Metrics: What are they

- Enumerations based on quantitative measurements and baselines
- Oftentimes correlated across two or more dimensions
- Targeted towards specific IT, Security and Business processes
Defining Metrics: What they aren’t

- Qualitative, subjective enumerations
- Enumerations without specific context or baselines
- Measures of effectiveness of undefined, informal processes and one-off activities
Characteristics of Metrics

• Good metric:
• Objective, SMART, Context specific, addresses specific questions
• Number or percentage, at least one unit of measure
• Lends itself for automation
• Supports trend analysis and comparison
• Resistant to biased interpretation
• Supports decision making
Characteristics of Metrics

- Not-so-good metric:
- Context - does not address business or management questions ("so what?" test)
- Qualitative, non-numeric
- Vulnerable to biased interpretation
- Subjective, does not support comparisons
- Less automation, More expensive to collect, analyze or present
- Leads to doubting the metric itself
Security Risk Measurement

• Historical take on Risk measures
  – Typically qualitative, vulnerable to bias
  – Quantitative methods - expensive, complicated
  – Blamed as a tool for FUD tactics

• On the other hand,
  – Good for communicating risk to non-technical stakeholders

• Challenge: How do we make our risk-metrics good metrics?
Drivers

• Justification of security risk management investments
• Objective comparison with and improvement over peers
• Legislations and regulations
• Transparency and accountability
Benefits

• Objectivity, enables measurable improvement of security performance (and manage risks)
• Facilitates open discussion of the causes, controls and effects
• Elevates security on par with other risk disciplines
• Better understanding for decision makers
Trends

• Integration with GRC, ERM, IT Fin. management, Operational Risk, etc.

• Benchmarking and improvement of security processes

• Automation and integration improves metric data quality, time, response

• Better modeling of security risks

• Transparency in communicating and managing risks

• Dashboards and Scorecards drive growth
Implementing a security risk metrics program
Security risk metrics program

- Business alignment
- Program structure
- Design considerations
- Sample structures and applications
- Developing a metrics program
- Implementing a metrics program
- Sustaining and improving a metrics program
- Sample metrics
- Critical success factors
Business Alignment

• Helps build program based on “what’s needed for the business” instead of “what’s available”
• Makes resources available and accessible to the program
• Helps determine the program’s overall usefulness and sustainability
Program structure

**Business / IT Drivers...**
- Are we secure
- How do we compare against _____?
- Are we compliant to ____?
- How much should we spend on this problem _____?

**Drive projects and processes...**
- IT and Security processes
- Security operations
- Financial management
- Compliance program

**That derive metrics**
- Executive dashboards
- Process specific metrics
- Cost, performance and Utilization metrics
- Compliance metrics

Aggregate to respond to the ‘drivers’
Design considerations

• Top-down approach to set direction and tone. Bottom-up approach for metrics
• Management sponsorship and support
• Sponsor’s span of control vis-à-vis authority and access to other relevant teams and their data
• Security process owner responsibilities in creating, collecting, sharing data used for creating metrics
• Limitations when metrics and/or data is shared with other parties
Metrics program structures

• Common dimensions: Tiered approach*
  – Governance, Management, and Technical
  – Management, Operational, and Technical
  – Organizational/Performance, Operational, Technological, Business Process, Business Value, and Compliance
  – Implementation, Effectiveness and Efficiency, Business Impact
  – Financial, Customer, Internal Business Processes, Learning and Growth
Metrics program applications

• Security and Risk management initiatives.
  – Achieved through costs and performance metrics, program coverage and effectiveness metrics, metric feeds into GRC initiatives, etc.

• Tactical IT and Security processes.
  – Achieved through scope and coverage metrics, process effectiveness and efficiency metrics

• Operational / Technical security controls.
  – Achieved through effectiveness and efficiency metrics for security and IT controls (i.e., both operational, technical)
Developing a Security metrics program

- Identify Business/IT drivers and break them down to lower level metrics
- Leverage existing Policies, Guidelines and Procedures
- Identify/Add required metrics in the existing controls environment
- Document the program

Refer to Handout A for more details
Implementing a security metrics program

- Start small – with limited processes and mature (preferred) metrics. Focus on essentials before expanding the scope
- Considerations – current vs. historical data, accuracy and completeness, frequency, analysis, reporting and presentation, gap remediation, delegation, training.

Refer to Handout A for more details
Sustaining and Improving a security metrics program

- Ongoing review and customization of program at pre-determined points

Refer to Handout A for more details
## Illustration: Metrics and Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics attribute</th>
<th>Vulnerability Mgt.</th>
<th>Incident Mgt.</th>
<th>Malware Mgt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage effectiveness</td>
<td>Number of systems managed / total number of systems⁴</td>
<td>Number of systems covered by SIEM/manual processes/ total number of systems³</td>
<td>Number of devices with up to date AV and definitions / total number of applicable systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance effectiveness</td>
<td>Number of unpatched critical (or high) vulnerabilities/system², aggregated for all critical systems</td>
<td>Mean time for containment and recovery⁴</td>
<td>Number of AV and APT infections / Total number of systems or users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Adjust for heterogeneous environments
2 Adjust if availability of exploits are key
3 Systems can be extended to include appliances, network devices, etc.
4 Adjust for extent of compromise ex., multiple internal network hosts

Refer to Handout C for more details.
### Illustration (contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics attribute</th>
<th>Vulnerability Mgt.</th>
<th>Incident Mgt.</th>
<th>Malware Mgt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process efficiency</td>
<td>Average time for application of patches for critical vulnerabilities per system, aggregated for all critical systems</td>
<td>Time taken for each phase as a percentage of average time taken for that phase during the calendar year</td>
<td>Mean Time taken for all computers (onsite and remote) to receive latest updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost effectiveness</td>
<td>Number of Support hours required to keep critical system compliant per month</td>
<td>Mean cost for containment and recovery</td>
<td>Mean cost for containment and recovery Mean hours spent on each incident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to Handout C for more details.
Risk specific metrics

• Considerations:
  – Quantitative Risk Measurement Challenges
  – Qualitative Risk Measurement Challenges
  – Value At Risk
  – Indicators for risk
  – Dashboards
Integrating the results

• Operational metrics carved from business level drivers
  – Indicate coverage, maturity, effectiveness, efficiency at Tactical and Operational / Technical layers

• Integrate Operational metrics to create Process and Program level metrics
  – Integrate specific processes to determine program effectiveness and risk containment

• Respond to business drivers based on program health
## Critical success factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-requisites</td>
<td>Management support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Document rationale and approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policies and mature processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and Execution</td>
<td>Start small and simple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Stakeholder Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-performing metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Base metrics before integrated metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embed metrics into Org. culture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Issues, Challenges and Practical approaches for resolution
Issues, Challenges and Practical approaches for resolution

- Program planning and execution
- Program development
- Implementation and Operations
- Human factors
- Evolving challenges
Program planning and execution

- Primary questions or drivers biased by lack of metrics
- Inability to break down high level management questions (or drivers)
- Requiring additional investments
- Accessibility to resources (ex., people and raw data)
- Processes are not stable or mature
- Incomplete coverage on key processes (ex., driven solely by compliance / audit needs)
- Working with differing or conflicting needs

Risk based approach
McKinsey Diagnostic Method
Senior management commitment
Fix the processes
Business first approach with IT building blocks
Program development

• Scope and cost issues
• Using and customizing templates
• Deciding on right metrics
  – Base vs. derived / complex metrics
  – Availability and Cost of data and human resources
  – Processes (objective, risks, control obj., controls) to derive metrics
  – Number of metrics (focus on Key)
• Stakeholder Issues (see “Human Factors” slide)

Start small and evolve
Utilize project management principles
Let your goals and processes drive the design
Implementation and operations

• Data challenges
  – Gaps in systems integration, automation, processing, data quality, data integrity

• Consolidation challenges
  – Automation, Integration and Complexity

• Analytics challenges
  – Objectivity and Repeatability

• Reporting challenges
  – Visualization, Reporting, Interpretation Bias, Swings, Disclosure issues

• Updating program model for business, technological or procedural changes

  Fix source or update model
  Consider automation to eliminate consistency issues
  Promote transparency, Work with stakeholders to eliminate biases, objectivity issues and unplanned changes
Human factors

• Stakeholder reluctance to participate
  
• Accuracy and reliability of metrics data
  
• Customization and Consumption challenges
  
• Sustaining the metrics program amongst other priorities
  
  Leverage management authority to drive accountability
  
  Training
  
  Personalization of Dashboards
  
  Integrate the metrics program outcomes with the organization’s fabric
Evolving challenges

• Correctness and effectiveness
• Complex metrics as Leading/ Lagging Indicators
• Comparing security metrics across organizations
• Quantitative vs. Qualitative ratings
• Composability problems
• Reducing human reliance, increasing automation through integration
• Reporting
Resources
References

- The CIS security metrics, Consensus metric definitions (Version 1.1.0) – www.cisecurity.org
- NIST SP 800-55 and NISTIR 7564 - crsc.nist.gov
- Security Metrics www.securitymetrics.org
- R Grandy & G Serene, A Report from the Field: Implementing Cyber Security Metrics that Work
- See Handout B for a summary of popular metrics program models
Q & A
Thank You!

Arun Sivaraman
SOAProjects, Inc.

[Links]

www.soaprojects.com
asivaraman@soaprojects.com
www.linkedin.com/in/arunsivaraman