MOSSAMAN LLP **MOSS-ADAMS** LLP

Issues and Trends: Electronic Health Records

November 8, 2011 R. Gregory Cochran, MD, JD Blair J. Bautista, CFE, CISA nossaman.com



Overview

- Introduction
- Electronic Medical Record
- Technology
- Issues and Trends
 - Embedded data and e-discovery
 - Electronic documentation and fraud
 - Quick primer on elements of professional negligence law
 - A new type of unintended patient harm

Overview

"A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than any invention in human history – with the possible exception of handguns and tequila."

Mitch Radcliff The Pleasure Machine: Computers, Technology Review, April 1992

The New Electronic Medical Record

An electronic medical record (EMR) is a computerized medical record created in an organization that delivers care, such as a hospital or physician's office. Electronic medical records tend to be a part of a local stand-alone health information system that allows storage, retrieval and modification of records.

Source: Wikipedia

The Technology

- •Human-computer interface
- Work flow
- Customizable screens
- Ergonomics



The New Electronic Medical Record

Hyperspace - EAST INTERNAL MED							
Desktop Action Patient Care Scheduling HIM Reg/ADT Pharmacy CRM/CM Retensis Home Health Reports Tools Admin Help							
← Back → Forward 💏 Home 🔇 Sche	edule 🖂 in Basket 🥱 Chart 🎐 Encour	ter 😗 Tel Enc. 🧧	Hospital Chart	Lists 🖾 Secure	🍊 Print 🔹 😪 Log (lut =	
Home	Simpson,Elizabeth I H	loover.Katherine M	И.			Workspaces	
Potent Lists Close							
🗎 👘 🖉 🕹 🗙	- +i 🚺 🕄		💡 👢	(6		
Create Properties Remove	Add Patient Copy Paste	Open Chart	Patient CRM Work List	Sign Out Rpt O	der Reports		
B C My Patient Lists	Attending/Teaching				ac of 1		
- Attending/Teaching	Attending/reaching				as of h		
Consults	Bed > Patient Name	Age Sex	Principal Problem	New Panic Ne	W Cosign Exp	ring Cosign 📥	
Private	200	77.v.o. M	Disheter Llocomel	rtsit rtsit riv	ues invole joru		
Shared Patient Lists	200	rry.u. m	Adult-type li		Q	F	
B System Lists	301	68 v.o. M	Pneumonia				
Attendings Clinical Nurse Seecialist			Organism Nos		0	4	
R-C Care Coordinators			(Adm.)				
R-C ENHED	307	82 y.o. F	Acute Renal Failure	4h 🙀	0		
Pastoral Care			Nos		9		
8 Pharmacy	345 Hoover, Katherine M.	68 y.o. F	Multiple Myeloma			<u> </u>	
8 Services	× .					2	
8- Cults	Report SnapShot - + A	≥ + E E	SnapShot 🔂 Result	s 🔝 Facesheet	ED Summary		
- 99 3 West - Telem							
TT 4 NOTEN							
44 6 West	Att. Provider: Cooper, P						
All Units	Allergies: Isolation: (none) HT: 5° 6" / 167.64 cm			1 cm	Admission Dr		
- 🚧 CCU	Penicilins Service: Medicine Will 147 lbs / 66.66 kg			Jee Kg	HYPERCAL CEMIA		
	Orders Need Conim						
- 🙀 L&D	orders Need Cosign						
Medical Step-Down	Verbal orders by:COOPER, PAT [587]			Communica	tes.	(Sign All)	
MedSurg(2)	151027 10.06 0733 AMBIEN 10 MG OR TABS Start:10.06, 10 mg, Oral, HS PRN			HARKER	GM (Sign)		
A NICU	Madia d Davidsona						
A OR	Medical Problems						
AN PACU	Active Problem List				Noted	Drivety Class	
Pediatric ICU	ESSENTIAL HYPERTENSION [401.9]				055252	CLMAX 26822	
Diagnosed age 54. Initially treated with sodium restriction, diet, exercise. Diaretics Copyright © 2003 Epic Systems Corporation							

Collaboration in the healthcare industry

Collaboration is vital for improving health care quality and meeting consumers' needs. However, it involves a significant amount of information sharing. The protection of information is a critical ingredient for success



Health plans have an opportunity to become the trusted source for personalized, integrated information about health conditions. treatment options, quality, and price. They should evaluate partners or acquire companies that can help them advance their technological capabilities to position themselves as a trusted advisor

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Current environment – data breach consequences

Numerous instances of non-compliance and data breaches have been reported leading to a heightened awareness of this topic at the senior levels within health care organizations

Aug 2010: According to the report, 113 of the 385 U.S. companies and organizations that endured a significant data breach in the first half of the year were health-care providers. By comparison, only 39 breaches were reported at banking and other financial institutions.

The hospital where a California woman gave birth to octuplets in January has been fined \$250,000 by the state because nearly two dozen medical workers, including doctors, illegally viewed her medical records, according to state health officials.

The New York Times:

Sept, 2011: A medical privacy breach at a prestigious hospital in Calif., led to the public posting of medical records for 20,000 emergency room patients, including names and diagnosis codes, on a commercial Web site for nearly a year, the hospital has confirmed.

Sept 2010: Last month, the California Department of Health <u>fined five California hospitals a total of \$675,000</u> for repeatedly failing to adequately secure patient data.

Data breaches are occurring at health-care organizations at a much higher rate than in any other industry, a trend that reflects both the vast amount of personal data housed at hospitals and medical centers and the comparatively lax security employed by these organizations, according to a report from the nonprofit <u>Identity Theft Resource Center</u>.

Electronic Security Breaches



MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Trends

Himssanalytics



Item that Puts Data at Risk



Source: 2010 HIMSS Analytics Report: Security of Patient Data commissioned by Kroll's Fraud Solutions.

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Electronic Security Breaches

HITECH Act

- Inappropriate use, access or disclosure: substantial civil and criminal penalties
- -Breach notification: "unsecured protected health information"
- Costly, embarrassing
- -Electronic breaches are the norm
- -500+ patients: HHS investigation

Electronic Data "Uses"

- Physician profiling
- Peer review
- Physician productivity
- Criminal investigations



Hybrid Records

Scanned notes

Delayed posting

Audit trail of electronic records

November 13th, 2010 | Author: Steven L. Johnson



Photo credit: maveric2003

EHRs Prove a Difficult Witness in Court

The EHR is a valuable clinical tool for streamlining and improving patient care. But it is complicating and confusing the courts.

As the first cases involving electronic health records make their way into legal proceedings, everyone involved is discovering the challenges of producing and interpreting the information they contain.

New EHRs will do a better job of presenting clinical records in court as vendors, providers, and attorneys gain more experience. In the meantime, facilities must work at understanding exactly how their systems track and record data and how they can best produce these data as evidence in court—concisely, unequivocally, and inexpensively.

An ironic consequence of automation is it can be harder, not easier, to provide a realistic audit trail of "what happened, when" compared to paper records. If the systems you worked with regularly were put "on trial" how easy would it be to replicate what a particular user saw in the system on a specific day?

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Hybrid Records

"...My question to myself was why is this test that was done, which is an important test and has significant information on it, why is it in the wrong chart and why was it not made available to the physician on the first hospitalization..."

Johnson v. Hillcrest Health Center, Inc., 70 P.3d 811, 818, (Okla. 2003), rehearing denied (Jun 02, 2003)

Hybrid Records

"...the applicable standard of care required the hospital to include completed lab tests and lab reports in the patient's chart to aid the doctor in diagnosing and treating the patient ... regardless of whether lab tests are made available on the computer."

Johnson v. Hillcrest Health Center, Inc., 70 P.3d 811, 818, (Okla. 2003), rehearing denied (Jun 02, 2003)

Metadata

 "...Not only were we able to determine that the CD-ROM had just recently been created, but we were also able to prove the CD-ROM as being a fraud by examining the internal Meta data of the file as well as the dates and time stamps in a compelling way. The case quickly settled after we gave our deposition"

Lee Neubecker, comment in "A Gold Mine of Electronic Discovery Expertise: A Conversation Among Veterans of Electronic Discovery Battles," *Law Practice Today* (July 2004)

Discovery

- Any process in which electronic data is sought, located, secured, and searched with the intent of using it as evidence in a civil or criminal legal case
- Paper
- Electronic

Audit trail of electronic records

November 13th, 2010 | Author: Steven L. Johnson



Photo credit: maveric2003 EHRs Prove a Difficult Witness in Court

> The EHR is a valuable clinical tool for streamlining and improving patient care. But it is complicating and confusing the courts.

As the first cases involving electronic health records make their way into legal proceedings, everyone involved is discovering the challenges of producing and interpreting the information they contain.

New EHRs will do a better job of presenting clinical records in court as vendors, providers, and attorneys gain more experience. In the meantime, facilities must work at understanding exactly how their systems track and record data and how they can best produce these data as evidence in court—concisely, unequivocally, and inexpensively.

An ronic consequence of automation is it can be harder, not easier, to provide a realistic audit trail of "what happened, when" compared to paper records. If the systems you worked with regularly were put "on trial" how easy would it be to replicate what a particular user saw in the system on a specific day?

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Discovery

FRCP Rules 26 and 16

Describe all electronically stored information by category and location as part of initial discovery conference

Discovery

FRCP Rules 26 and 16

-Certain document preservation expectations.

Electronic Source "Records"

- Administrative (e-mail)
- Financial
- Medical
- EMR's
- Digital images (pathology images)

Electronic Source "Records"

- Diagnostic images (X-ray, CT, MR, nuclear medicine)
- Cine (cardiac cath, ultrasound images)
- Medical transcription files
- Wave forms (ECG's, fetal traces, etc.)

Where is Electronically Stored Information Located?

- Remote computers and servers
- Network servers
- Offsite storage
- Paging systems
- Printers/scanners
- Desktops & laptops

Where is Electronically Stored Information Located?

- Handheld devices
- Mobile storage devices
- PDA's, wireless devices
- Flash drives, CD's, zip, etc.
- Home computers



You are here: Latest News » Medtronic Investigates Risk Of Insulin Pump Hacking

Medtronic Investigates Risk Of Insulin Pump Hacking

Posted by Claire Shefchik on October 26, 2011 4:32 PM

share this story



Insulin pump, showing an infusion set loaded into spring-loaded insertion device. A reservoir is attached to the infusio... Read More

Medtronic is investigating whether its insulin pumps can be hacked to deliver potentially lethal doses of medication to diabetics.

"This is an evolution from having to think about security and safety as a healthcare company, and really about keeping people safe on our therapy, to this different question about keeping people safe around criminal or malicious intent," Catherine Szyman, president of Medtronic's diabetes division, told Reuters.

Medtronic said that it is consulting security experts and doing "everything it can" to address potential security flaws after Friday, when cyber security firm McAfee exposed the vulnerability in one model of the Medtronic Paradigm insulin pump.

Medtronic and McAfee would not disclose which model is involved or how many are being used currently. About 200,000 of the company's insulin pumps are currently in use, with two models on the market and six older versions still circulating.

McAfee used a Windows PC and an antenna that communicates using a telephone radio spectrum to assume control of one Medtronic insulin pump from 300 feet away.

"We found a way around all the restrictions and all the limitations," said Stuart McClure, a senior vice president with McAfee.

Source: www.thirdage.com

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Sanctions: \$5M

"We affirm, both to punish Mack for his egregious conduct and to deter other litigants who might be tempted to make a mockery of the discovery process".

Grange Mut. Cas. Co. v. Mack, 2008 WL 744723 (C.A.6 (Ky.) March 27, 2008)

Fraud and Abuse Issues

- False Claims
- Overpayments/Penalties

Fraud and Abuse Issues

- Software prompts
 - Services not provided
 - Services not medically necessary
- Automated coding defaults

NHIC Medicare Bulletin, September 2006, page 100

Cloned Notes

"Cloning of documentation is considered a misrepresentation of the medical necessity requirement for coverage of service. Identification of this type of documentation will lead to denial of services for lack of medical necessity and recoupment of all overpayments made "

CMS Medicare B Update, Vol. 4, No. 3, Page 4 (2006)

Cloned Notes

"The (reviewer) shall determine if patterns and/or trends exist in the medical record which may indicate potential fraud, waste or abuse. Examples include, but are not limited to...obvious or nearly identical documentation..."

July 1, 2008, CMS Manual, Change Request 5644, Transmittal 252

Professional Negligence

Elements

- Duty
- Breach
- Causation
- Damages
- Contract/Tort
- Experts

latrogenesis:

(Patient harm) introduced inadvertently by a physician or surgeon or diagnostic procedures.

Merriam-Webster

e-latrogenesis:

Patient harm caused at least in part by the application of health information technology.

"e-Iatrogenesis": The Most Critical Unintended Consequence of CPOE and other HIT"; J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2007;14:387-388 (June 2007)

"We have observed an unexpected increase in mortality coincident with CPOE implementation."

"Unexpected Increased Mortality after Implementation of a Commercially Sold Computerized Physician Order Entry System," *Pediatrics*, 2005: 116, 1506-1512

Errors of Commission:

Accessing wrong patient's records; overwriting one patient's information with another's.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors of Commission:

Example 1: An error occurred in software used to view and document patient activities. When the user documented activities in the task list for one patient and used the "previous" or "next" arrows to select another patient chart, the first patient's task list displayed for the second patient.

Example 2: A nuclear medicine study was saved in the wrong patient's file. Investigation suggested that this was due to a software error.

Example 3: A sleep lab's workstation software had a confusing user interface, which led to the overwriting and replacement of one patient's data with another patient's study.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors of Omission or Transmission:

--Loss or corruption of patient data.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors of Omission or Transmission:

Example 1: An EMR system was connected to a patient monitoring system to chart vital signs. The system required a hospital staff member to download the vital signs, verify them, and electronically post them in the patient's chart. Hospital staff reported that, several times, vital signs have been downloaded, viewed, and approved, and have subsequently disappeared from the system.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors of Omission or Transmission:

Example 2: An operating room management software application frequently "locked up" during surgery, with no obvious indication that a "lock-up" was occurring. Operative data were lost and had to be reentered manually, in some cases from the nurse's recollection.

Example 3: An improper database configuration caused manual patient allergy data entries to be overwritten during automatic updates of patient data from the hospital information system.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors in Data Analysis:

--Medication dosing errors

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors in Data Analysis:

Example 1: In one system, intravenous fluid rates of greater than 1,000 mL/hr were printed as 1 mL/hr on the label that went to the nursing / drug administration area.

Example 2: A clinical decision support software application for checking a patient's profile for drug allergies failed to display the allergy information properly. Investigation by the vendor determined that the error was caused by a missing code set.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Errors in Data Analysis:

Example 3: Mean pressure values displayed on a patient's physiological monitors did not match the mean pressures computed by the EMR system after systolic and diastolic values were entered.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Incompatability between software applications or systems:

--Incompatabilities that can lead to any of the above

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Incompatability between software applications or systems:

Example 1: An Emergency Department management software between package interfaces with the hospital's core information system and the laboratory's information system; all three systems are from different vendors. When lab results were ordered through the ED management software package for one patient, another patient's results were returned.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Incompatability between software applications or systems:

Example 2: Images produced by a CT scanner from one vendor were presented as a mirror image by another vendor's picture archiving and communication system (PACS) web software. The PACS software vendor stipulates that something in the interface between the two products causes some images to be randomly "flipped" when displayed.

Jeffrey Shuren, Director, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, FDA Health Information Technology (HIT) Policy Committee Adoption/Certification Workgroup (February 25, 2010)

Wrong Patient

A medical resident had prescribed a **NORCURON** (vecuronium) infusion for the wrong patient via a CPOE system in a remote location. She meant to order the infusion for a ventilated patient in ICU but accidentally prescribed the drug for a patient on a medical unit.

Leape LL, Bates DW, Cullen DJ, et al. Systems analysis of adverse drug events. JAMA July 5, 1995; 274(1):35-43.

Amendments

- Paper records: cross out and correct, so can see the change.
- e-record: needs to be set up this way also, so that the original information can be recreated. But smart-text function can cause problems.
- E.g., pt with incorrect history of HIV; pt. complains; hospital corrects in DC summary, but because the smart text was used to create the entry, it gets repopulated in subsequent iterations of the record.

Interoperability

- -Pt. A goes to CT
- -Tech entered info into computer, but couldn't start IV, so took pt. out
- -Pt. B came in, but first patient's info still in computer
- -Tech recognized and corrected, but when the first patient's information was deleted from the computer in the scan room, it was not deleted from the computer system used by the radiologist.
- -Pt. got unnecessary appendectomy.

California Dept. of Public Health

System Error

"When the dispensed volumes are assigned by the system, the volumes are rounded to 0.01 mls . . . represents a 20% error in the dose . . . related to this, we almost had a 10-fold insulin error related to this specific defect."

MAUDE Adverse Event Report, Event Key 1018749 (6/12/08)

- Fragmented CPOE displays: unintended or duplicative meds
- Information delay
- Alert fatigue

Role of Computerized Physician Order Entry Systems in Facilitating Medication Errors", *JAMA*, March 9, 2005, Vol. 293, No. 10, 1197-1203)

Summary AHIMA - Concerns in eHR enviornment

- Authorship integrity: borrowing record entries from another source or author and representing or displaying past as current documentation and (in some instances) misrepresenting or inflating the nature and intensity of services provided.
- Auditing integrity: inadequate auditing functions that make it impossible to detect when an entry was modified or borrowed from another source and misrepresented as an original entry by an authorized user.
- Documentation integrity: automated insertion of clinical data and visit documentation using templates or similar tools with predetermined documentation components with uncontrolled and uncertain clinical relevance.
- Patient identification and demographic accuracy: automated demographic or registration entries generating erroneous patient identification, leading to patient safety and quality of care issues as well as enabling fraudulent activity involving patient identity theft or providing unjustified care for profit.





Log In Communities Main Advanced Search Contact Us

Appendix A: Resource List

Practice Brief: Guidelines for Electronic Health Record Documentation

- Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care . Available online at <u>http://www.aaahc.org/</u>.
- AHIMA e-HIM Task Force. "<u>The Strategic Importance of Electronic Health Records</u> <u>Management</u>." Journal of AHIMA 75, no. 9 (2004): 80A–B.
- AHIMA e-HIM Work Group on Defining the Legal Health Record. "<u>The Legal Process</u> and Electronic Health Records." Journal of AHIMA 76, no. 9 (2005): 96A–D.
- AHIMA e-HIM Work Group on e-Discovery. "<u>The New Electronic Discovery Civil</u> <u>Rule</u>." Journal of AHIMA 77, no. 8 (2006): 68A–H.
- e-HIM Work Group on Implementing Electronic Signatures. "<u>Implementing Electronic Signatures</u>." (AHIMA Practice Brief) (Updated October 2003).
- AHIMA e-HIM Work Group on Maintaining the Legal EHR. "<u>Update: Maintaining a</u> <u>Legally Sound Health Record—Paper and Electronic</u>." *Journal of AHIMA* 76, no. 10 (2005): 64A–L.
- Amatayakul, Margret, Mary Brandt, and Michelle Dougherty. "<u>Cut, Copy, Paste: EHR</u> <u>Guidelines</u>." Journal of AHIMA 74, no. 9 (2003): 72, 74.
- Amatayakul, Margret, S.S. Lazarus, T. Walsh, and C. Hartley. <u>Handbook for HIPAA</u> <u>Security Implementation</u>. Chicago, IL: AMA Press, 2004.
- Amatayakul, Margret. "<u>The Trouble with Audit Controls</u>." Journal of AHIMA 75, no. 9 (2004): 78–9.
- American Osteopathic Association. Healthcare Facilities Accreditation Program (HFAP). Available online at https://www.hfap.org/ .

Source: www.ahima.org

MAKING IT HAPPEN.

Questions?

R. Gregory Cochran, MD, JD Nossaman, LLP 50 California Suite, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 <u>gcochran@nossaman.com</u> 415-438-7260

Blair J. Bautista CISA, CFE Moss Adams, LLP 1 California Street, 4th Floor blair.bautista@mossadams.com 415.677-8312

MAKING IT HAPPEN.